

## Information meeting – June 27<sup>th</sup> 2016 – Next generation of national digital identity and signing

*Q1: Can there be multiple suppliers for the NemID citizens, NemID employee and the NemLog-in solutions?*

A1: It is certainly an option. But it is too soon to say how it will turn out at this early stage.

-

*Q2: What will be the main differences between the current and the new solutions?*

A1: Too soon to say. We have shown a drawing of a conceptual architecture, but the final architecture will depend on the outcome of the dialogue with the market.

-

*Q3: In the current solutions the keys are centrally stored, but will this be different in the new solution?*

A3: Yes, we expect that this is going to be different. By separating signature functionality from authentication functionality we do no longer see any requirement to base the authentication solution on PKI identities.

The aim is to make a simpler and more modular solution architecture. But again we need to see input from the market.

-

*Q4: Will you demand a higher degree of IP rights in the next solutions?*

A4: Too soon to say, but we see this solution as a critical national infrastructure and as a national eID.

-

*Q5: Why the difference between a citizen and an employee ID?*

A5: There are advantages and disadvantages of having separate citizen and employee solutions. For historical reasons there are two different solutions today, but we expect that the new solution will offer better possibilities to use the citizen ID in an employee context.

-

*Q6: Medium sized and big companies have big management issues with identities. Have you made some thoughts about helping them in some ways/ migration from one solution to another?*

A6: This is an area that has high focus both in the existing and coming solution. A key element in handling these issues will be to continue the strategy with an admin API available to businesses, so they will be able to integrate the management tasks related to the solution with their existing identity management systems, e.g. AD etc.

-

*Q7: Have you made some thoughts about biometric solutions?*

A7: This will be a topic for the market dialogue in September/October. We are certainly interested in new technologies that the market has to offer.

-

*Q9: Who will ensure the balance between security and convenience – The Agency for Digitisation or the supplier?*

A9: Too early to say. We will specify the security demands, and then we expect the coming supplier to create a solution that maximizes the level of convenience/usability to the end user, while not compromising security requirements.

-

*Q10: Will the end-user-support be delivered by the same supplier?*

A10: Not yet decided.

-

*Q11: How will the legal framework be with different security levels? Who will be responsible for choosing the right level of security?*

A11: It is not yet clear what the legal framework will look like, but it is expected that the service provider will be responsible for providing the right level of security and possibly what kind of credential is needed.

-

*Q12: Do you have some dates for the new NemID solution?*

A12: We have a contract with the current supplier about the current solutions until November 2018, but we will probably go beyond that in order to ensure a seamless transition.

-

*Q13: Will there be various brokers in the solutions or just one?*

A13: Too soon to say, but we aim for competition in the market.

-

*Q14: Can the solution be delivered from another EU country – given that this is critical Danish infrastructure? Can it be a country outside the EU?*

A14: We will look broader than Denmark, but we need to have a closer look at the implementation of the EU Data Protection Regulation.

-

*Q15: Doesn't the "war rule" regulate that the solutions have to be operated in Denmark?*

A15: There is no "war rule" in the new Data Protection Regulation. But we will have to look into the implementation of EU Data Protection Regulation.

-

*Q16: The Agency for Digitisation does not own the IPR for the current solution. What are your thoughts about the migration process?*

A16: We have a contract, which describes the terms for the migration process.

-

*Q17: Do the transaction numbers mentioned in the presentation include both for the public and the financial sector? And how will this be in the future?*

A17: The numbers are totals for the public and financial sector as a whole. We will hopefully establish a partnership with the financial sector in the nearest future. *[A partnership has now been established – see more on <http://www.digst.dk/ServiceMenu/English/Digitisation/Digital-Signature/Next-Generation-Digital-Signature/Partnership>]*

-

*Q18: The current solution is provided by a single vendor. What thoughts do you have on opening the market to create competition?*

A18: The new solution will be specified and built from new, so all vendors will have a possibility to come with their proposals for a solution.